

I am writing in regard to Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election.

It is a a clear example of media corruption.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and ought to obey the law in order to serve the public interest. It is an an unfair maneuver used by large companies controlling the airwaves. Furthermore, thes tactics pose a danger to democracy. Instead they ought to concentrate on substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Thank you.